

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
WESTERN DIVISION**

MONSANTO COMPANY

PLAINTIFF

VERSUS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:00CV161-P-D

MITCHELL SCRUGGS, et al

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on the plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 15 [730]. The Court, having reviewed the motion, the response, the authorities cited and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, finds as follows, to-wit:

Monsanto seeks entry of an order prohibiting defendants, their witnesses, experts and attorneys from offering any remarks, testimony or other evidence relating to farming practices in locations outside the United States, including, but not limited to Argentina, Brazil, the United Kingdom and South America. Monsanto likewise seeks to exclude remarks, testimony and evidence of Monsanto's sale of products to customers in any country other than the United States. The grounds for the motion are that such evidence lacks relevance under F.R.E. 402 and to the extent it may be relevant, it should be excluded pursuant to F.R.E. 403 because its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, misleading the jury and by considerations of undue delay and waste of time. Defendants oppose the motion on numerous grounds, none of which have merit. The Court finds the plaintiff's motion well-taken. Accordingly,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiff's Motion in Limine

No. 15 [730] is well-taken and should be, and hereby is, GRANTED.

SO ORDERED, this the 27th day of August, 2010.

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr. _____
W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE